

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/620,190	JENNINGS, DAVID T.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel L Greene Jr.	3641	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Daniel L Greene Jr.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Thomas J. Brindisi, Esq.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2005

**Time:** 4:10pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Tyler et al. and Boucher et. al.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Allowance of claims 1-12, cancellation of withdrawn claims 13-21 and allowance of claims 22-24 by amending claim 22 to include the limitation "said master device selectively charging", Mr. Brindisi was agreeable to all and an Examiners Amendment and Notice of Allowability is filed herewith .